

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,198	03/27/2001	Jane Ye	CL001188	9081
75	90 01/14/2003			
CELERA GEI	NOMICS CORPORAT	EXAMINER		
45 West Gude I Rockville, MD		RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	a
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	<b>\</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/817,198	YE ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  be timely filed  displays will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status  1)  ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 C</u>	Octobor 2002						
,	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		e prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under language.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,8,9 and 24-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9,25,26 and 28</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,24,27 and 29</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ammer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		40(-) (-) (0					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. h h						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) to Comply .					

Application/Control Number: 09/817,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The election with traverse filed October 7, 2002 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged and has been entered.

- 2. The amendment filed October 7, 2002 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged and has been entered. Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-23 have been canceled. Claims 4 and 8 have been amended. Claims 24-29 have been added.
- 3. Claims 4, 8, 9, and 24-29 are pending in the application and are currently under prosecution.

### Election/Restrictions

Upon consideration of Applicants' grounds of traversal of the restriction set forth 4. in the Office action mailed August 7, 2002 (Paper No. 5), the inventions of groups III and IV are rejoined. As Applicants have remarked in Paper No. 8, MPEP § 2434 states, "[n]ucleotide sequences encoding the same protein are not considered to be independent and distinct and will continue to be examined together". Furthermore, the search required for examination of claims drawn to a nucleic acid molecule encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 is the same as the search required to examine claims drawn to a nucleic acid molecule comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 or SEQ ID NO: 3. Accordingly, searching the inventions of groups III and IV should not constitute a serious burden and therefore it would be improper to maintain the requirement that Applicants elect only one or the other of the inventions of groups III and IV. For the same reasons, the inventions of groups V and VI and the inventions of groups VIII and IX are also rejoined, although it is noted that claims drawn to non-elected inventions have been canceled. Otherwise the restriction requirement is proper and therefore made final.

Art Unit: 1642

5. The communication filed July 17, 2002 in Paper No. 4 is not fully responsive to the Office communication mailed June 19, 2002 (Paper No. 3) for the reasons set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With The Sequence Rules and the same form that was attached to Paper No. 5. Applicants must comply with the requirements of the sequence rules (37 CFR §§ 1.821 - 1.825) before the application can be further examined under 35 U.S.C. §§ 131 and 132.

Since the reply appears to be *bona fide* attempt to comply with the requirements of the sequence rules (37 CFR §§ 1.821 - 1.825), Applicants are given the same period time within which to reply to this Office action to correct the deficiency so as to comply with the sequence rules (37 CFR §§ 1.821 - 1.825) in order to avoid abandonment of the application under 37 CFR § 1.821(g).

## Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because the disclosure refers to embedded hyperlinks and/or other forms of browser-executable code, which are impermissible and require deletion. See page 13, for example.

The attempt to incorporate essential or non-essential subject matter into the patent application by reference to a hyperlink and/or other forms of browser-executable code is considered to be an improper incorporation by reference. See MPEP § 608.01(p), paragraph I regarding incorporation by reference.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 24, 27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24 is vague and indefinite because it cannot be ascertained which polypeptide expressed by the host cell of claim 9 is to be produced by the process that

Art Unit: 1642

is regarded as Applicants' invention. Quite literally, thousands of polypeptides are likely to be produced by the host cell of claim 9. Therefore the subject matter that is regarded as Applicants' invention is not particularly or distinctly claimed. Amending claim 24 to recite, for example, "a process for producing a polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2" can obviate this ground of rejection.

Claim 24 is also vague and indefinite because the claim does not recite a positive correlation step that clearly relates back to the preamble of the claim. Therefore, it is unclear whether each of the steps that Applicants regard as essential to achievement of the objective stated in the preamble of the claim are recited in the body of the claim. Accordingly, one skilled in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the metes and bounds of the invention. Amending claim 24 to recite, for example, "thereby producing said polypeptide" at the end of the last line of the claim can obviate this ground of rejection.

Claims 27 and 29 are indefinite because claim 27 recites the limitation "wherein said vector is selected from the group consisting of a plasmid, a virus, and a bacteriophage". The recitation of this limitation renders the claim indefinite because the vector of claim 8 is "[a] nucleic acid vector"; and while a plasmid is a nucleic acid vector, neither a virus nor a bacteriophage is a nucleic acid vector. Because of this lack of clarity and particularity, one skilled in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the metes and bounds of the invention. Amending claim 8, for example, to delete "nucleic acid" before "vector" can obviate this ground of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1642

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boehringer Mannheim *Biochemicals*, 1994 Catalog (No. 1034 731/1006 924), page 93.

Boehringer Mannheim teaches a kit comprising random primers that encompass all possible 6-nucleotide sequences. The kit will comprise an isolated nucleic acid molecule consisting of a polynucleotide sequence that is completely complementary to a polynucleotide sequence that encodes the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, namely the polynucleotide sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1.

## Conclusion

- 11. Claims 4, 24, 27, and 29 are rejected. Claims 8, 9, 25, 26, and 28 can be allowed, but are subject to an interference search.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicants' disclosure. WO 2002/18424-A teaches the polynucleotide sequence of an isolated nucleic acid molecule that encodes an amino acid sequence that is 100% identical to the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, WO 2001/54733-A1 teaches the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide that comprises the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony C. Caputa, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1642

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D. Examiner
Art Unit 1642

slr January 12, 2003

> ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notic	to Comply	09/817,198	YE ET AL.	<b>,</b>		
Notic	to Comply	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642			
NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES						
Applicant must file the it avoid abandonment und	tems indicated below within the tider 35 U.S.C. § 133 (extensions of	me period set the Office action to of time may be obtained under the	which the Notice e provisions of 37	is attached to CFR 1.136(a)).		
	mino acid sequence disclosure c set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.8	contained in this application does 325 for the following reason(s):	not comply with th	ne requirements		
1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May 1, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).						
2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).						
3. A copy of the "Se 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).	quence Listing" in computer read	lable form has not been submitte	d as required by			
☐ 4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."						
5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).						
6. The paper copy of as required by 37 C		e same as the computer readable	e from of the "Sec	quence Listing"		
☑ 7. Other: A detailed explanation of the reasons this application fails to comply with the requirements was set forth on this form as part of the Office communication mailed June 19, 2002 (Paper No. 3). Applicants are directed to submit substitute copies of the sequence listing and the statement, as indicated below, if necessary to place this application in compliance with the requirements.						
Applicant Must Pro  ☑ An initial or substitu	ovide: te computer readable form (CRF)	) copy of the "Sequence Listing".				
An initial or substitu specification.	te paper copy of the "Sequence L	isting", as well as an amendmen	t directing its entr	y into the		
		uter readable copies are the san 21(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.8		plicable, include		
For questions regar	ding compliance to these re	equirements, please contact	•			
For Rules Interpreta	ation, call (703) 308-4216	•				
TOI UKE SUBMISSIO	n Help, call (703) 308-4212					

Technical Assistance......703-287-0200

To Purchase Patentin Software.....703-306-2600

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR REPLY

Patentin Software Program Support